



# Unified New York Common Law Grand Jury

• PO Box 59; Valhalla, New York 10595 • Phone (845) 229-0044 • Fax (888) 891-8977

## LEX NATURALIS DEI GRATIA

- Albany • Allegany • Broome • Bronx • Cattaraugus • Cayuga • Chautauqua • Chemung • Chenango • Clinton • Columbia
- Cortland • Delaware • Dutchess • Erie • Essex • Franklin • Fulton • Genesee • Greene • Hamilton • Herkimer • Jefferson
- Kings • Lewis • Livingston • Madison • Monroe • Montgomery • Nassau • New York • Niagara • Oneida • Onondaga
- Ontario • Orange • Orleans • Oswego • Otsego • Putnam • Queens • Rensselaer • Richmond • Rockland • Saint Lawrence
- Saratoga • Schenectady • Schoharie • Schuyler • Seneca • Steuben • Suffolk • Sullivan • Tioga • Tompkins • Ulster • Warren
- Washington • Wayne • Westchester • Wyoming • Yates

*Psa 89:14 Justice and judgment are the habitation of thy throne: mercy and truth shall go before thy face.*

MARCH 17, 2014

DISCLAIMER - This is an open letter to the People, and to our public servants who took an oath to serve the People. The clerks have a sworn duty to protect Liberty (which presently rests on life support) and to deliver this memorandum to the recipients listed below. The press likewise has a duty to inform the People under the 1<sup>st</sup> amendment, to protect Liberty, and deliver this memorandum to the People. If the American Press continues to withhold from the People the facts they deserve to know and are necessary for the survival of a free people, then the press is no longer free or American. When the press has a duty to speak and they remain silent it is a wrongdoing.

Any orchestrated or deliberate interception of said communiqué is a dereliction of duty, conspiracy and obstruction of justice. The People have a right to know the truth and what their servants are doing. If your superiors try to intercept truth, you need to ask yourself why?

**FROM:** UNIFIED NEW YORK COMMON LAW GRAND JURY

**TO:** COURT CLERKS,  
 SUPREME COURT JUDGES, (distributed to by court clerks)  
 BAILIFFS, (distributed to by court clerks)  
 SHERIFFS,  
 COUNTY CLERKS  
 AND THE GOOD PEOPLE OF NEW YORK (distributed to by the press)

**RE:** Memorandum to Oath-takers, gate keepers of Justice<sup>1</sup> - The purpose of these communiqués is to stimulate, inform, and provoke dialog among yourselves concerning Liberty, Justice, and your oath; and to inform you that the Common Law Grand Jury is just the People, rising from the grassroots across the nation, from every walk of life. Our only agenda is to return Justice to our courts. The day draws near when you will either stand with the people or the tyrants.

<sup>1</sup> JUSTICE. In the most extensive sense of the word, it differs little from virtue, for it includes within itself the whole circle of virtues.

## CONSPIRACY THEORIST - DOMESTIC TERRORIST

In the 1990s, political dissenters were labeled “conspiracy theorists” and as being mentally ill. In the 2000s, dissenters were labeled domestic terrorists. The Missouri Information Analysis Center (MIAC Report) labeled Ron Paul, Bob Barr, Chuck Baldwin, in fact, all Libertarians as Terrorists. People were declared a to be domestic terrorists if they supported Ron Paul, demanded the government follow the Constitution, were pro-Second Amendment, were a Libertarian, a Constitutionalist, a returning veteran or were opposed in any way to the Federal Reserve.

**THE SOVEREIGN CITIZEN** Progressives control both parties, have seized control of our government, and are working overtime to cast legitimate dissenters in a negative light, simply by associating a word with an evil act. In contemporary vernacular, the term sovereign citizen has its roots in a shoot-out, following a routine traffic stop in Arkansas, which turned into an violent confrontation between police and a father-son pair of so-called “sovereign citizens.” According to the corporate-controlled media, this event, which occurred on July 1, 2010, “brought attention to a ‘secretive and dangerous subculture’ who believe American laws don’t apply to them.” In short, if you do not like some aspect of government, you are now one the new domestic terrorists and should be subject to governmental control outside the bounds of constitutional protections.

The newest globalist trick to quell dissent, and subsequently violate the rights of legitimate government protesters, is to label them “SOVEREIGN CITIZEN.” The term “sovereign citizen” is deceptive because in political discussions about who has the power in society, the term gets used quite often. For example, if the people are the sovereign, then the people have power over the government. If, on the other hand, the government has power over the citizenry, it could be accurately said that the government is the sovereign.

Police Magazine ([www.PoliceMag.com](http://www.PoliceMag.com)) which goes out to every police department in America addresses and defines a sovereign citizen as the “New Domestic Terrorist.”

The strategy is simple: if one criticizes the government in any form they can be labeled a sovereign citizen. If the government can label one a sovereign citizen, then that citizen has no rights, and ostensibly, the government can do what they will with that citizen. This is a very slippery slope and the government and its police agencies are fully committed to this strategy.

Police Magazine’s comprehensive definition of what constitutes a sovereign citizen *includes* minor offenses such as the manufacture of fraudulent license plates, registration cards, or currency (e.g. use of gold coins). These people should be considered armed and dangerous; calling in of a SWAT team during routine traffic stops might not be an overreaction to the threat posed by sovereigns. They might complain about mortgage fraud, or banking fraud. The police are cautioned to be on the lookout for words like “Indigenous,” “Sovereign,” “Diplomatic,” “Exempt,” or “Private Property” displayed on the personalized license plates. Police officers are advised that Sovereigns will engage in willful terrorism by video-recording their interactions with law enforcement officers. Police are also cautioned to be aware of certain dangerous key words that sovereigns are likely to use such as “oath of office”, "traveling in a private capacity”, “domicile” and the “14th Amendment.”

New York Statutes say that the “**PEOPLE ARE SOVEREIGN**” –

**NEW YORK CODE - N.Y. CVR. LAW § 2 : NY Code - Section 2:** Supreme sovereignty in the people - No authority can, on any pretence whatsoever, be exercised over the citizens of this state, but such as is or shall be derived from and granted by the people of this state.

The United States Supreme Court says “**SOVEREIGNTY ITSELF REMAINS WITH THE PEOPLE**”

*“Sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts And the law is the definition and limitation of power...”* Yick Wo v. Hopkins<sup>2</sup>

The United States Supreme Court says “**THE SOVEREIGN MAKES THE LAW**” law is made by the people (constitution) not legislators. The constitution permits limited statute making and the control of the behavior of people is not among them.

*“The very meaning of 'sovereignty' is that the decree of the sovereign makes law.”* American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co.,<sup>3</sup>

The New York Supreme Court says People of New York are as **sovereign as any king** before us.

*“The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative”.* Lansing v. Smith<sup>4</sup>

The United States Supreme Court says **people under common law have the defense of sovereign immunity** against all legislated laws.

*“The doctrine of Sovereign Immunity is one of the Common-Law immunities and defenses that are available to the Sovereign.”* Yick Wo v. Hopkins<sup>5</sup>

The United States Supreme Court says **sovereignty dwells in the People.**

*“In United States, sovereignty resides in people. The Congress cannot invoke the sovereign power of the People to override their will as thus declared.”* Perry v. US<sup>6</sup>

The New York Supreme Court says **People of all states are categorically sovereign.**

*“It will be admitted on all hands that with the exception of the powers granted to the states and the federal government through the Constitutions, the people of the several states are unconditionally sovereign within their respective states.”* Lansing v. Smith<sup>7</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 US 356, 370 Quotiens dubia interpretatio libertatis est, secundum libertatem respondendum erit

<sup>3</sup> American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co., 29 S.Ct. 511, 513, 213 U.S. 347, 53 L.Ed. 826, 19 Ann.Cas. 1047

<sup>4</sup> Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nully Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7.

<sup>5</sup> Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 318 US 356, 371 and Terry v. Ohio, 392 US 1, 40

<sup>6</sup> Perry v. US, 294 U.S.330

<sup>7</sup> Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wendell 9, (NY) 6 How416, 14 L. Ed. 997.

The United States Supreme Court says: **sovereignty is fixed and absolute in the People.**

*“Republican government. One in which the powers of sovereignty are vested in the people and are exercised by the people,”* Minor v. Happersett<sup>8</sup>

The United States Supreme Court says: **Sovereignty abides in the People not gov. servants.**

*“The sovereignty of a state does not reside in the persons who fill the different departments of its government, but in the People, from whom the government emanated; and they may change it at their discretion Sovereignty, then in this country, abides with the constituency, and not with the agent; and this remark is true, both in reference to the federal and state government.”* Spooner v. McConnell<sup>9</sup>

The United States Supreme Court says: the **People are sovereigns without subjects**

*“...at the Revolution, the sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the sovereigns of the country, but they are sovereigns without subjects...with none to govern but themselves...”* Chisholm v. Georgia<sup>10</sup>

The United States Supreme Court says: **public agencies exist to aid people, not lord over them**

*“It is the public policy of this state that public agencies exist to aid in the conduct of the people's business.... The people of this state do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies which serve them...”* Chisholm v. Georgia<sup>11</sup>

The United States Supreme Court says: **Either People are sovereign or government is sovereign they cannot co-exist.**

*“The words "sovereign state" are cabalistic words (hidden meaning), not understood by the disciple of liberty, who has been instructed in our constitutional schools. It is our appropriate phrase when applied to an absolute despotism. The idea of sovereign power in the government of a republic is incompatible with the existence and foundation of civil liberty and the rights of property”.* Gaines v. Buford<sup>12</sup>

## **RIGHTS TRUMP STATUES AND CODE**

The United States Supreme Court says: **Bill of Rights are not to be defeated by statutes.**

*“The assertion of federal rights, when plainly and reasonably made, is not to be defeated under the name of local practice.”* Davis v. Wechsler

---

<sup>8</sup> In re Duncan, 139 U.S. 449, 11 S.Ct. 573, 35 L.Ed. 219; Minor v. Happersett, 88 U.S. (21 Wall.) 162, 22 L.Ed. 627." Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Edition, p. 626

<sup>9</sup> Spooner v. McConnell, 22 F 939 @ 943

<sup>10</sup> Chisholm v. Georgia (US) 2 Dall 419, 454, 1 L Ed 440, 455 @DALL (1793) pp471-472

<sup>11</sup> Chisholm v. Georgia (US) 2 Dall 419, 454, 1 L Ed 440, 455 @DALL (1793) pp471-472

<sup>12</sup> Gaines v. Buford, 31 Ky. (1 Dana) 481, 501

The United States Supreme Court says: **No rule making on rights**

*“Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them.” Miranda v. Arizona<sup>13</sup>*

The United States Supreme Court says: no statutes to prevent rights

*“There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of constitutional rights.” Sherer v. Cullen<sup>14</sup>*

The United States Supreme Court says: **there can be no statutes preventing rights**

*“The state cannot diminish rights of the people.” Hurtado v. People of the State of California<sup>15</sup>*

Constitution for the United States of America, Article VI, Clause 2 is the **LAW OF THE LAND**

*“This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby; any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.”*

So how did we get from the People being sovereign to the conclusion that sovereigns are terrorists? The answer is simple: the progressives of both major parties simply hate the America that our fathers founded and they are hell bent on destroying ~~our~~ the foundation of LAW our founders established, and replacing it with codes or statutes. Lawyers are taught by the progressives in the law schools that statutes are law and that common law has been done away with.

Statutory law and common law, i.e. natural law, are like mixing oil and water; they are a contradiction to each other; you either have one or the other. As William Penn said: *“Men must be governed by God or they will be ruled by tyrants”*.

### **Statutory Law**

Federal Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior  
State Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior  
County Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior  
Town Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior  
City Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior  
Mind of man trying to control every aspect of your life

### **Common Law**

Declaration of Independence  
US Constitution  
Bill of Rights  
Magna Carta  
Holy Bible  
Mind of God, so each man controls his own behavior and answer to each other: the People (Grand Jury), if you injure or violate someone in this life, you will come to answer to God.

<sup>13</sup> Miranda v. Arizona, 384 US 436, 491.

<sup>14</sup> Sherer v. Cullen, 481 F 946.

<sup>15</sup> Hurtado v. People of the State of California, 110 U.S. 516.

*“Government is not reason; it is not eloquent; it is force. Like fire, it is a dangerous servant and a fearful master.” **George Washington***

Being sovereign is the American experiment. Tyrants want the People to be subject to them and not free and independent, that is sovereign! Presently these tyrants lord over us with “unconstitutional legislation” called statutes, because We the People have been so dumbed-down that we don’t realize what the law is, until we start puzzling over it and start reading books. The people who are waking up, and standing up in the courts and elsewhere are being labeled “sovereigns” which law enforcement are being taught means terrorist and cop killers.

**So now you know** – What is a sovereign? And, to answer the question who are the sovereigns? We are: your mom, your dad, your grandparents, your sisters, your brothers, we are your children, we are Americans, we are your founding fathers, we are you, and we just want our country back!

Police across the Nation are being militarized and soon you will be going out on “operations” instead of patrol and you will be caught up in arresting and killing people in the name of America, after all they are just sovereign terrorists. If you don’t bone up on the constitution and lead others in Honor we will be like any other occupied third world country with no hope and at the mercy of two bit tyrants.

*signed by order and on behalf of the 62 Unified Common Law Grand Jury’s of New York*



Common Law Grand Jury Administrator

LEX NATURALIS DEI GRATIA – Natural Law by the grace of God  
1215AD – Year of the Magna Carta